SINGLE BENCH

(2) (4)

CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.(S) No. 922/2007

Petitioner : Saraswati Singh, W/o Shyamlal Aged about 20 years R/o Village Lainga, Gram Panchayat Lainga, Block–Lakhanpur, Tehsil – Ambikapur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 1153/07
Presented by Shri Bhatt Rajput
dated 9/2/07

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through - The Secretary Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Commissioner, Sanchanalaya, Women and Child Welfare Development Mantralaya Parisar, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Collector (Women and Child Development), Ambikapur-(C.G.)

4. The Project Officer, Integrated Development Project Lakhanpur, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

5. The District Programme Officer, Woman and Child Development, Surguja-(C.G.)

6. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Lakhanpur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
9/2/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

06/3/07

7. Dil Kunwar, S/o Shri Satyanarayan, Aged about 27 years, R/o Manjhapara, Lainga, Gram Panchayat Lainga, Block– Lakhanpur, Tehsil – Ambikapur, Dist : Surguja-(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(29)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 922 of 2007

Petitioner Saraswati Singh

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Mr. Bharat Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 19th Day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court was passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the appointment of respondent No. 7 is contrary to Aanganbadi Scheme, as her husband is a Government employee and also the respondent No. 7 is not living below the poverty line. He further submits that the respondent No. 7 has been appointed in preference to the petitioner, who is more qualified and suitable for the scheme.

2. Be that as it may, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondent No. 3 is directed to look into the allegation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash